The nuclear quadrupole moment of ^{57}Fe from microscopic nuclear and atomic calculations

Gabriel Martínez-Pinedo,[1,2] Peter Schwerdtfeger,[3] Etienne Caurier,[4] Karlheinz Langanke,[1] Witold Nazarewicz, [5–7] and Tilo Söhnel [3]

[1] *Institut for Fysik og Astronomi, Århus Universitet, DK-8000 Århus C, Denmark*
[2] *Departement für Physik und Astronomie, Universität Basel, Basel, Switzerland*
[3] *Department of Chemistry, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand*
[4] *Institute de Recherches Subatomiques (IN2P3-CNRS-Université Louis Pasteur), F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France*
[5] *Department of Physics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996*
[6] *Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831*
[7] *Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Warsaw, ul. Hoża 69, PL-00-681 Warsaw, Poland*

(February 8, 2008)

arXiv:nucl-th/0101026v1 15 Jan 2001

The nuclear quadrupole moment of the I^π=3/2$^-$ excited nuclear state of ^{57}Fe at 14.41 keV, important in Mössbauer spectroscopy, is determined from the large-scale nuclear shell-model calculations for ^{57}Fe and also from the electronic *ab initio* and density functional theory calculations including solid state and electron correlation effects for the molecules $Fe(CO)_5$ and $Fe(C_5H_5)_2$. Both independent methods yield very similar results. The recommended value is 0.16(1) eb. The NQM of the isomeric 10^+ in ^{54}Fe has also been calculated. The new value (0.5 eb), consistent with the perturbed angular distribution data, is by a factor of two larger than the currently recommended value.

21.10.Ky, 21.60.Cs, 31.15.Ar, 31.30.Gs, 76.80.+y, 27.40.+z

Mössbauer spectroscopy of ^{57}Fe plays an important role in the structural determination of iron containing solid state compounds. In principle, the nuclear quadrupole moment (NQM) of the isomeric I=3/2 state in ^{57}Fe can be determined from Mössbauer data; however, the analysis requires the calculation of the electric field gradient (EFG). As these atomic calculations are quite involved, studies employing different methods arrived at quite distinct results; the values of NQM in the range from –0.19 to +0.44 eb have been reported [1]. This quite unsatisfying situation could also not have been settled by nuclear structure calculations of the NQM as calculations within the nuclear shell model, the most reliable tool for such studies, had to be performed in strongly truncated model spaces and with rather untested effective interactions. In recent years, decisive progress has been achieved in both the atomic calculations of the EFG and in nuclear shell model studies.

In 1995, Dufek and co-workers applied the density functional theory for a series of iron-containing solid state compounds. For ^{57}Fe they obtained a NQM of 0.16 eb [2], in contradiction with the previously accepted value of 0.082 eb obtained from Hartree-Fock (HF) EFG calculations [3] and from truncated nuclear shell-model calculations combined with the perturbed angular distribution data [4]. In subsequent work, Su and Coppens obtained a NQM value of 0.12(3) eb using Sternheimer-corrected EFGs [5]. In this Letter, we shall demonstrate that state-of-the-art nuclear and atomic physics calculations lead to the same NQM for the ^{57}Fe isomeric state, settling a long-standing controversial issue.

We shall begin with the nuclear physics discussion. The nucleus ^{57}Fe has two low-lying 3/2$^-$ states which are experimentally split by only 353 keV. To describe the structure of such nearly degenerate states is quite demanding. Clearly, the large-scale shell model is the method of choice. Due to recent progress in programming and hardware development, modern shell-model calculations based on microscopic effective interactions can handle configuration spaces that were prohibitively large only several years ago [6]. More specifically, modern diagonalization shell-model codes can now handle medium-mass nuclei (A=50-60) in the middle of the pf shell *in full* $0\hbar\omega$ *space*. To put things in perspective, the shell-model calculations of the Utrecht Group [7], which were used in Ref. [4] to extract the NQM of ^{57}Fe, restricted the number of holes in the $f_{7/2}$ orbit to three.

Shell-model calculations depend crucially on two factors: the model space and the effective interaction. Our calculations have been performed using the code NATHAN [8]. NATHAN has been developed in the jj-coupling scheme using quasispin formalism. We adopt a version of the code adapted to shared-memory parallel machines. For the two lowest 3/2$^-$ levels, we assumed the truncated space (containing 8,120,105 I^π=3/2$^-$ states) in which maximally 6 nucleons were allowed to be excited from the $f_{7/2}$ orbital to the rest of the pf shell. To test the convergence of our results, we have performed a full pf shell calculation for the lowest 3/2$^-$ state. This calculation includes 25,743,302 states ($\sim 2\cdot 10^{13}$ non-zero matrix elements) and is one of the largest shell-model diagonalization performed to date. For all adopted interactions, the results of the truncated and complete calculations are identical.

During the last few years, a considerable effort went into the development of effective interactions in the pf shell. In this work three different effective interactions have been employed: KB3F, KB3G, and FDP6. The in-

teractions KB3F [9] and KB3G [10] are both reasonable attempts to correct the defects of the well-known KB3 interaction [11] in the upper part of the pf-shell. They differ slightly in their collectivity of states around ^{58}Ni. As far as ^{57}Fe is concerned, KB3G is clearly the interaction of choice; it has been shown to be very successful in describing experimental data (including energy levels and electromagnetic properties) in the mass region A=50-52 and around A=56 [10]. The FDP6 interaction [12] was originally fitted to the spectroscopic properties of $f_{7/2}$ nuclei and has since been extended to nuclei around the N=Z=28 shell closure [13]. For the effective charges, we took the quadrupole charges 1.5e for protons and 0.5e for neutrons when calculating E2 transitions and moments, and the spin and orbital gyromagnetic factors g^s=0.75$g^s_{\rm bare}$, g^l_π=1.1 μ_N, and g^l_ν=−0.1 μ_N for M1 transitions and moments, e.g. [14]. We adopt the oscillator parameter $b = 1.01A^{1/6}$ fm.

Our shell-model calculations reproduce well the experimental level scheme of ^{57}Fe. In particular, the low-lying I^π=3/2$^-$ doublet is predicted by theory. Experimentally, the energy difference between these states is 353 keV, while theoretically it is 526, 209, and 465 keV using the KB3F, KB3G, and FPD6 interactions, respectively. The third 3/2$^-$ state appears much higher in energy, at about 1.6 MeV. Table I summarizes our shell-model results for the quadrupole and magnetic moments of the two lowest 3/2$^-$ states in ^{57}Fe. It is seen that these close-lying states in the doublet are predicted to have very different shapes and magnetic moments, i.e., their E2 and M1 moments have different signs. Consequently, even small changes in the shell-model interaction, hence in the coupling between these states, significantly impact theoretical predictions. We note that a convergence of the shell-model results is not reached if only three $f_{7/2}$ holes are allowed, as was done in the previous truncated calculations [7]. For example, the value of the NQM of the $3/2^-_1$ state, $Q(3/2^-_1)$, obtained in such truncated calculations with the KB3G interaction, is 0.097 eb, as compared to the exact value of 0.064 eb.

In order to assess the sensitivity of the results on the adopted effective force, we computed overlaps between wave functions of the 3/2$^-$ states obtained with the different interactions. It turned out that the spaces of the two lowest 3/2$^-$ states in KB3F and KB3G are practically (up to 95%) the same. That is, both 3/2$^-$ wave functions obtained with KB3F can be approximately derived from the 3/2$^-$ doublet calculated with KB3G by simple rotation. This does not hold for KB3G and FDP6. Since FPD6 tends to excite particles to the $f_{5/2}$ shell rather than the $p_{3/2}$ shell (FPD6 puts the $f_{5/2}$ orbit too low at N=28), the contributions from higher-lying 3/2$^-$ states amount to about 37%.

Due to the great sensitivity of the shell-model predictions caused by the not-very-well-controlled off-diagonal coupling between the 3/2$^-$ doublet, the calculations need to be constrained by the available experimental data. To this end, we choose the magnetic moment of the $3/2^-_1$ state, which has been precisely determined experimentally, $\mu(3/2^-_1)$=−0.1549(2) μ_N Assuming that the "true" wave functions of the 3/2$^-$ doublet are given by a simple rotation of the shell-model states,

$$|3/2^-\rangle = \alpha_1|3/2^-_1;\mathrm{SM}\rangle + \alpha_2|3/2^-_2;\mathrm{SM}\rangle, \qquad (1)$$

one can determine the mixing amplitudes α_1 and α_2 ($\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 = 1$) by requesting that the measured value of $\mu(3/2^-_1)$ be reproduced. The results of such two-level mixing calculations are displayed in Table II. Contrary to the pure shell-model results, one obtains a satisfying agreement between KB3F and KB3G, and the data. In particular, the quadrupole moments predicted by these interactions are very similar, $Q(3/2^-_1)\approx$0.17 eb. The only serious difference is the sign of the magnetic moment of the $3/2^-_2$ state. Unfortunately, this quantity is poorly determined experimentally: $\mu(3/2^-_2)<0.6\,\mu_N$ The predictions of FPD6 for the E2 moments are rather far from the data: both 3/2$^-$ states are calculated to be practically spherical, and the $B(E2)$ transition connecting these states is enhanced by a factor of ∼40. Part of this failure comes from too-low a placement of the $f_{5/2}$ level in FPD6, as discussed in detail in Ref. [10], where more examples of KB3G and FPD6 calculations can be found. Therefore, we conclude that the best shell-model estimate of the quadrupole moment of the $3/2^-_1$ state in ^{57}Fe is 0.16 eb.

In Ref. [16] it has been pointed out that the ratio of quadrupole moments of the isomeric 10$^+$ state in ^{54}Fe to the 3/2$^-$ state in ^{57}Fe, $Q[^{54}\mathrm{Fe}(10^+)]/Q[^{57}\mathrm{Fe}(3/2^-_1)]$, represents a stringent constraint. Possible uncertainties in the atomic EFG calculations cancel largely in the experimental determination of the ratio from Mössbauer data. The most recent value is $Q[^{54}\mathrm{Fe}(10^+)]/Q[^{57}\mathrm{Fe}(3/2^-_1)]$ =3.62± 0.22 [17]. Unfortunately, the NQM of the isomeric state in ^{54}Fe is not known experimentally. We have thus calculated the NQM of the isomeric 10$^+$ state in ^{54}Fe in a large-scale shell-model study in which we allowed 8 particles to be promoted from the $f_{7/2}$ orbital to the rest of the shell. We have checked the convergence of our results by performing calculations in which only 6 particles could be promoted; the NQMs were the same at both levels of truncation. For all the interactions used, we find rather similar NQMs: 0.51 eb (KB3F), 0.50 eb (KB3G), and 0.56 eb (FDP6). Using the NQMs of Table II for the isomeric state in ^{57}Fe, these values correspond to $Q[^{54}\mathrm{Fe}(10^+)]/Q[^{57}\mathrm{Fe}(3/2^-_1)]$ ratios which agree rather well with the measurement for the KB3F and KB3G interactions (2.9 and 3.1, respectively). For the FDP6 interaction, the ratio is significantly too large, as the NQM of the isomer in ^{57}Fe is severely underestimated. We note that our predicted values for the magnetic moment of the 10$^+$ state in ^{54}Fe (6.4 μ_N in KB3F,

6.5 μ_N in KB3G, and 7.1 μ_N in FDP6) compare well with the experimental value of 7.28±0.01 n.m [18].

We now turn to our atomic physics studies. The use of the density functional theory for the calculation of EFGs in transition metals is questionable. Recent calculations on CuF showed a variation of the Cu EFG ranging from +0.50 au for the local density approximation (LDA), and +0.44 au at the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) level (BPW91) to +0.07 au at the hybrid level (B3PW91) [19,20], as compared to the experimental value of −0.31(2) au [21]. In contrast, relativistic ab initio coupled-cluster calculations give −0.34 au [19], in perfect agreement with the experimental result.

In this work, we carried out density functional as well as ab initio calculations for the molecules $Fe(CO)_5$ and $Fe(C_5H_5)_2$. We have adopted a wide range of exchange and correlation functionals for the electronic structure calculations of the free molecules $Fe(CO)_5$ and $Fe(C_5H_5)_2$ (for the terminology see Refs. [19,22]): Hartree-Fock-Slater (HFS), Xα, Local Density Approximation (LDA), the GGA functionals B-HFS (Slater exchange plus Becke nonlocal exchange), B-LYP (B-HFS plus the correlation functional of Lee, Yang and Parr), B-PW91 (B-HFS plus the correlation functional of Perdew and Wang), the hybrid GGA functionals B3-LYP (Becke three-parameter functional), B3-PW91 (same as B3-LYP except with the nonlocal correlation term of Perdew and Wang), BHH (Becke half-and-half together with the LYP correlation functional), and BHH-LYP (same as BHH but with 0.5 of the Becke nonlocal exchange term added to the energy). For comparison with DFT, we carried out the HF many-body perturbation theory (MBPTn) up to order n=4, as well as coupled-cluster singles doubles including non-iterative triple excitations [CCSD(T)] [23]. The electronic coupled cluster calculations required three months of CPU time and 20 Gbytes of disk storage on a Origin 2000 SGI. For $Fe(CO)_5$ we investigated solid state effects to the iron electric field gradient by performing HF, B3LYP, and LDA calculations using the program CRYSTAL98 [24] and the solid state structure given by Böse and Bläser [25]. Detailed structural data and basis sets used will be published elsewhere [26].

The results of our electronic structure calculations for the iron EFGs of $Fe(CO)_5$ and $Fe(C_5H_5)_2$ are shown in Table III. We first note that the single-reference many-body perturbation theory shows extreme oscillatory behavior and is practically useless for the determination of transition element EFGs. DFT results range from 1.09 au to 1.57 au for $Fe(CO)_5$, and from 1.36 au to 2.49 au for $Fe(C_5H_5)_2$, depending on the functional applied. If we accept the coupled-cluster result as the most accurate value, the hybrid GGA functionals perform well for $Fe(CO)_5$, while the non-hybrid GGA functionals are preferred for $Fe(C_5H_5)_2$. We note that for CuCl the BHH functionals gave the best description [19]. This is clearly not a satisfying situation. On the other hand, an encouraging result is that solid state effects from nearest-neighbor interactions in $Fe(CO)_5$ are small and can basically be neglected. Based on the CCSD(T) EFGs, we obtain from the Mössbauer data of $Fe(CO)_5$) (2.57 mm/sec [27]) and $Fe(C_5H_5)_2$ (2.4 mm/sec [28]) a NQM of 0.177 eb and 0.159 eb, respectively. However, basis set incompleteness and relativistic effects may increase the iron EFGs and, therefore, further decrease the NQM [26]. Consequently, our best estimate using EFGs together with Mössbauer data for these molecules is 0.15(2) eb. In order to improve further on these results, large-scale relativistic coupled-cluster calculations are necessary, which are currently not feasible for such big molecules. We emphasize, however, that EFGs obtained from current DFT for transition element compounds should be taken with some care as the results in Table III show.

In summary, the quadrupole moment of the first $3/2^-$ state in ^{57}Fe at 14.41 keV, important in Mössbauer spectroscopy, has been calculated using state-of-the-art nuclear and atomic models. Both calculations yield results which are consistent with $Q(3/2_1^-)\approx 0.16$ eb, in nice agreement with the recently reported value [2] and the results of Ref. [29]. As a by-product of this work, the NQM of the isomeric 10^+ in ^{54}Fe has also been calculated. The new value, consistent with the perturbed angular distribution data, is 0.5 eb, i.e., a factor of two greater than the currently recommended value [17].

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-FG02-96ER40963 (University of Tennessee), DE-FG02-97ER41019 (University of North Carolina), DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), DE-FG02-92ER40694 (Tennessee Technological University), the Danish Research Foundation, the Schweizer Nationalfond (grant 20-61822.00), the Marsden Fund Wellington, the Royal Society of New Zealand, and the Auckland University Research Committee. The Auckland group is indebted to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for financial support. GMP wants to thank the Carlsberg Foundation for a fellowship.

[1] V.S. Rusakov and D.A. Khramov, Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Phys. **56**, 1118 (1992).
[2] P. Dufek, P. Blaha, and K. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3545 (1995).
[3] K.J. Duff, K.C. Mishra, and T.P. Das, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 1611 (1981).
[4] S. Vajda, G.D. Sprouse, M.H. Rafailovich and J.W. Noé, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1230 (1981).
[5] Z. Su and P. Coppens, Acta Cryst. A **52**, 748 (1996).
[6] K. Langanke and A. Poves, Nucl. Phys. News **10**, 3 (2000).
[7] R. Vennink and P.W.M. Glaudemans, Z. Phys. A **294**, 241 (1980).
[8] E. Caurier, G. Martínez-Pinedo, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, J. Retamosa, and A.P. Zuker, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 2033 (1999).
[9] H. Kaiser *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A669**, 368 (2000).
[10] A. Poves, J. Sanchez-Solano, E. Caurier, and F. Nowacki, nucl-th/0012077; to be published.
[11] G. Martínez-Pinedo, A.P. Zuker, A. Poves, and E. Caurier, Phys. Rev. C **55**, 187 (1997).
[12] W.A. Richter, M.G. Vandermerve, R.E. Julies, and B.A. Brown, Nucl. Phys. **A523**, 325 (1991).
[13] T. Otsuka, M. Honma, and T. Mizusaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1588 (1998).
[14] A. Bohr and B.R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure*, Vol. II (Benjamin, New York, 1975).
[15] M.R. Bhat, Nucl. Data Sheets **85**, 415 (1998).
[16] S. Vajda, G.D. Sprouse, M.H. Rafailovich, and J.W. Noe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1230 (1981).
[17] M. Hass *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A414**, 316 (1984).
[18] M.H. Rafailovich, E. Dafni, J.M. Brennan and G.D. Sprouse, Phys. Rev. C **27**, 602 (1983).
[19] P.Schwerdtfeger, J. K. Laerdahl, and M. Pernpointner, J. Chem. Phys **111**, 3357 (1999).
[20] E. van Lenthe and E. J. Baerends, J. Chem. Phys **112**, 8279 (2000).
[21] J. Hoeft, F.J. Lovas, E. Tiemann, and T. Tørring, Z. Naturforsch **26a**, 240 (1970).
[22] *Recent Developments and Applications of Modern Density Functional Theory, Theoretical and Computational Chemistry*, ed. by J.M. Seminario (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1996).
[23] M.J. Frisch et al., program Gaussian 98, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 1998.
[24] V.R. Saunders et al., program CRYSTAL98, University of Torino, Torino (1998).
[25] R. Böse and D. Bläser, Z. Krist. **193**, 289 (1990).
[26] P. Schwerdtfeger, T. Söhnel, M. Pernpointner, and J. K. Laerdahl, to be published.
[27] R. Greatrex and N.N. Greenwood, Discuss. Faraday Soc. **47**, 126 (1969).
[28] R.L. Collins, J. Chem. Phys. **42**, 1072 (1964).
[29] M. Fanciulli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 3675 (1999).

TABLE I. Results of the shell-model calculations for the quadrupole and magnetic moments of the two lowest $3/2^-$ states in ^{57}Fe using effective interactions KB3F, KB3G, and FPD6. The experimental value of $\mu(3/2^-_1)$ is –0.1549(2) μ_N [15].

		KB3F	KB3G	FPD6
Q	$3/2^-_1$	0.16	0.06	−0.17
(eb)	$3/2^-_2$	−0.16	−0.07	0.17
μ	$3/2^-_1$	−0.32	−0.49	−0.51
(μ_N)	$3/2^-_2$	0.26	0.23	0.49

TABLE II. Results of the two-level mixing calculations (mixing amplitudes, quadrupole and magnetic moments, and transition probabilities) for the two lowest $3/2^-$ states in ^{57}Fe using effective interactions KB3F, KB3G, and FPD6. The mixing amplitudes α_1 and α_2 (1) for the $3/2^-_1$ state have been adjusted to reproduce the experimental value of $\mu(3/2^-_1)$=−0.155 μ_N $B(M1)$ and $B(E2)$ denote $3/2^-_2 \rightarrow 3/2^-_1$ transition rates. See text for details.

	α_1	$\mu(3/2^-_2)$ (μ_N)	$Q(3/2^-_1)$ (eb)	$Q(3/2^-_2)$ (eb)	$B(M1)$ (μ_N^2)	$B(E2)$ (e^2fm^4)
KB3F	0.99	0.10	0.18	−0.12	0.13	4
KB3G	0.92	−0.10	0.16	−0.17	0.09	25
FDP6	0.87	0.14	0.02	−0.02	0.10	228
exp. [15]		<0.6			0.07	5

TABLE III. Calculated iron electric field gradient for $Fe(CO)_5$ and $Fe(C_5H_5)_2$ at various levels of theory. SS denotes solid state calculations. All values are in au.

Method	$Fe(CO)_5$	$Fe(C_5H_5)_2$
	ab-inito	
HF	1.394	3.157
SSHF	1.367	-
MBPT2	3.511	1.563
MBPT3	-0.740	3.385
MBPT4	9.484	-0.250
CCSD(T)	1.394	1.496
	DFT	
Xα	1.148	1.374
HFS	1.092	1.363
LDA	1.154	1.359
SSLDA	1.122	-
BHFS	1.187	1.434
BLYP	1.203	1.425
BPW91	1.203	1.377
B3LYP	1.393	1.854
SSB3LYP	1.357	-
B3PW91	1.395	1.806
BHH	1.547	2.429
BHHLYP	1.573	2.488